

## CICR

### Global consequentialism and the morality and laws of war / Hilary Greaves

Document type:	Chapter
Title:	Global consequentialism and the morality and laws of war
Author zone:	Hilary Greaves
In:	Human rights and 21st century challenges : poverty, conflict, and the environment
Editor:	Oxford : Oxford University Press, 2020
Physical description:	p. 59-75
Languages:	English
Abstract:	Rights-based and consequentialist approaches to ethics are often seen as being diametrically opposed to one another. This is entirely understandable, since to say that X has a (moral) right to Y is in part to assert that there are (moral) reasons to provide X with Y even if doing so foreseeably will not lead to better consequences. However, a 'global' form of consequentialism raises the possibility of some sort of reconciliation: it could be that the best framework for the regulation of international affairs (say) is one that employs a notion of rights, but if so, that (according to global consequentialism) is the case because regulating international affairs in that manner tends, as a matter of empirical fact, to lead to better consequences. By way of case study, this chapter applies these ideas to a recent dispute about the morality and laws of war, between Jeff McMahan and Henry Shue.
Authors:	Greaves, Hilary
Keyword in English:	ETHICS ARMED CONFLICT IHL (INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW) PHILOSOPHY RIGHTS
Keyword in French:	ETHIQUE CONFLIT ARME DIH PHILOSOPHIE DROITS
Go to:	Human rights and 21st century challenges : poverty, conflict and the environment / ed. by Dapo Akande, Jaakko Kuosmanen, Helen McDermott, Dominic Roser

Call number	Disposability / Due date
345.1/695	See main document